1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED ST.	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN D	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN	JOSE DIVISION
11	S/H·	
12	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,	Case Nos. C-13-04513, C-13-04201, C-13-04202, C-13-04203, C-13-04204, C-13-04205, C-13-
13	Plaintiff,	04206, C-13-04207, C-13-03587
14	v.	ORDER CONFIRMING STAY
15	SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION,	
16	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P., SPRINT SPECTRUM	
17	L.P., SPRINT SOLUTIONS INC.,	
18	Defendants.	
19	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,	
20	LLC,	
21	Plaintiff,	
22	V.	
23	APPLE, INC.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,
2	LLC,
3	Plaintiff,
4	V.
5	FACEBOOK, INC.,
6	Defendants.
7	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,
8	LLC,
9	Plaintiff,
10	v.
11	FOURSQUARE LABS, INC.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
15	Plaintiff,
16	v.
17	GROUPON, INC.,
17 18	GROUPON, INC., Defendants.
18	
18 19	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,
18 19 20	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
18 19 20 21	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff,
18 19 20 21 22	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff, v.
18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff, v. LIVINGSOCIAL, INC.,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff, v. LIVINGSOCIAL, INC.,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Defendants. EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC, Plaintiff, v. LIVINGSOCIAL, INC.,

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,
2	LLC,
3	Plaintiff,
4	V.
5	TWITTER, INC.,
6	Defendants.
7	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE,
8	LLC,
9	Plaintiff,
10	V.
11	YELP, INC.,
12	Defendants.
13	
15	
14	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
14	LLC,
14 15	LLC, Plaintiff,
14 15 16	LLC, Plaintiff, v.
14 15 16 17	LLC, Plaintiff, v. MILLENNIAL MEDIA, INC.,

On September 26, 2014 the court conditionally granted defendants' motion to maintain the stay in the above-captioned cases. Dkt. No. 174. As a condition of its order granting defendants' motion, the court ordered defendants not participating in the ongoing *inter partes* review ("IPR") of the patents at suit to file a written commitment no later than October 7, 2014 agreeing to the following limited estoppel:

[the non-participating party] will not assert the invalidity of any claim on any ground raised in the IPR and on which a final written decision is rendered under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a). Any dispute as to what is meant by "final written decision" or "any ground raised" will be resolved by the court.

Case5:13-cv-04203-RMW Document119 Filed10/17/14 Page4 of 4

United States District Court For the Northern District of California Each non-participating defendant subsequently filed notice of their agreement to the above terms. *See* Dkt. Nos. 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 181. Accordingly, the court hereby confirms that the related cases are stayed until final written decision in the pending IPR, the date by which a final decision is now due, or further order of the court, whichever occurs first. Dkt. No. 174, at 13–14.

Within one week of the written final decision in the IPR, the parties shall file a joint status report informing the court as to the outcome of the IPR.

Dated: October 17, 2014

Dkt. No. 174 at 14.1

RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

¹ The statutory estoppel of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2) applies to IPR participants Apple, Yelp, and Twitter.